

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/708,788	MARSH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Roberto Velez	2829	

**All Participants:**  
  
(1) Roberto Velez.  
  
(2) Steven Capella.  
  
**Date of Interview:** 17 July 2007

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_  
  
(3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
  
(4) \_\_\_\_\_.  
  
**Time:** 2:00pm

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)  
  
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:    ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**  
  
Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A  
  
Claims discussed:  
1-2, 5, 12, 21-22  
  
Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A

**Part II.**  
  
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*Attorney Steven Capella authorized Examiner Velez to make changes in claims 1-2, 5, 12, 21-22 In claims 1-2, 5, 12, 21 and 22, the claim language "adapted to" has been changed to "configured to". In claim 1, the terms "FIR", DAC and IDAC have been change to "finite-impulse-response (FIR)", "digital-to-analog converter" and "current digital-to-analog converter", respectively.*

**Part III.**  
  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

